arXiv:1702.02509v1 [math.CV] 8 Feb 2017

ONE SIDE CONTINUITY OF MEROMORPHIC MAPPINGS BETWEEN REAL ANALYTIC HYPERSURFACES

S. IVASHKOVICH

Abstract. We prove that a meromorphic mapping, which sends a peace of a real analytic strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^2$ to a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^N$ which doesn't contain germs of non-constant complex curves is continuous from the concave side of the hypersurface. This implies the analytic continuability along CR-paths of germs of holomorphic mappings from real analytic hypersurfaces with non-vanishing Levi form to the locally spherical ones in all dimensions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Intersections of analytic disks with a real analytic s.p.c. hypersurface 3
3. Strict transform of real analytic hypersurfaces under a modification 7
4. Continuity of the mapping 9
5. Applications 11
References 12

1. Introduction

1.1. Statement of the result. Let $M \ni 0$ be a germ of a real analytic strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^2$ defined as $M = \{z : Q(z, \bar{z}) = 0\}$, where Q is a real analytic function in a neighborhood of zero with the non-vanishing gradient. In an appropriate local coordinates we can suppose that $Q(z, \bar{z}) = y_2 - |z_1|^2 - r(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x_2)$, where r is of order $\geqslant 3$ at zero, see (2.3) below. Set

$$U^+ = \{z \in U : y_2 > |z_1|^2 + r(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x_2)\}$$

ans call this open set the *concave* side of M.

Let furthermore $K \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be a compact. Our goal in this paper is to prove the following

Theorem 1. *Let M and K be as above and suppose, in addition, that K doesn't contain germs of non-constant complex curves. Let $f : (M, 0) \to \mathbb{C}^N$ be a germ of a meromorphic mapping such that $f|_M[M] \subset K$. Then f is continuous on $\overline{U^+}$.*

Let U be a neighborhood of zero where f is defined. By saying that $f : U \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is meromorphic we mean that $f = (f_1, ..., f_N)$ where f_j are meromorphic functions. When identifying $\mathbb{C}^N$ with a standard affine part of $\mathbb{P}^N$ this notion translates to the standard, *i.e.,* Remmert meromorphicity of mappings, see section 2 for more details. $f|_M[M]$ is by definition the closure of the set $\{f(z) : z \in M \setminus I_f\}$, where I_f denotes the set of points of

Date: February 9, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary - 32H04, Secondary - 32V99.
Key words and phrases. Meromorphic mapping, real analytic hypersurface.

indeterminacy of f. Therefore in our case condition $f|_M[M] \subset K$ means that for every $z \in M \setminus I_f$ one has that $f(z) \in K$.

Remark that condition $f|_M[M] \subset K$ easily (by maximum principle) implies that $f(\overline{U^+}) \subset \hat{K}$, where $\hat{K}$ is the polynomial hull of K, *i.e.,* that f is bounded from the concave side of M. But we claim more: that f is continuous from the side $\overline{U^+}$ up to M.

1.2. Applications. Let us explain the interest in such a theorem. Recall the following result of Pinchuk, see Theorem 6.2 of [P]. Every germ of a holomorphic mapping from real analytic hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ to the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n'-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n'}$ analytically extends along any CR-path in M. A CR-path in M is a path $\gamma : (0,1) \to M$ such that $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in T^c_{\gamma(t)}M$ for every $t \in (0,1)$. The proof of this theorem in [P] consist of two steps. First, one proves that f extends *meromorphically* along γ, see Lemma 6.7 there. Then one proves the holomorphicity of the extended map, see Lemma 6.6 in [P]. The proof of both lemmas in [P] crucially uses the assumption that $M' = \mathbb{S}^{2n'-1}$.

It was proved in [SV] that a germ of a holomorphic mapping $f : (M,x) \to (M',x')$ of a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ to a compact, real algebraic, strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n'}$ meromorphically extends along any CR-path in M. So we are interested in proving that the extended meromorphic map is actually holomorphic, *i.e.,* we what to have an analog of Lemma 6.6 from [P] in a possibly more general case. We can do that for locally spherical M'-s. Let $F : \widetilde{M'} \to \mathbb{S}^{2n'-1}$ be the *development* map constructed in [BS]. Here $\pi : \widetilde{M'} \to M'$ stands for the universal cover of M'. Using our theorem (after the reduction to the dimension two), we can localize the problem from the concave side of M and then apply the result of Pinchuk to $F^{-1} \circ \pi^{-1} \circ f$. This gives us the holomorphicity of f.

Therefore we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let (M,x) be a germ of a strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n'}$ be a compact locally spherical hypersurface. Let $f : (M,x) \to (M',x')$ be a germ of a meromorphic mapping. Then f is holomorphic.*

Remark that we do not need algebraicity of M' here. Combining this result with already mentioned result of Shafikov-Verma we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *Let (M,x) be a germ of a strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n'}$ be a compact real algebraic locally spherical hypersurface. Let $f : (M,x) \to (M',x')$ be a germ of a holomorphic mapping. Then f analytically extends along every CR-path in M.*

Since the distribution of complex tangents on a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface is non-integrable one can draw a CR-path between any two points in M. Therefore, in its turn, we obtain one more corollary.

Corollary 3. *If in the conditions of Corollary 2 the hypersurface M is compact and simply connected then f extends to a proper holomorphic mapping $F : D \to D'$ between domains bounded by M and M' respectively, provided that f was supposed to be non-constant.*

Remark 1. 1) Algebraicity of M' in Corollaries 2, 3 is needed already for the meromorphic extension of f, see example in [BS].

2) When M' is just a compact without the germs of complex curves as in our theorem one cannot hope to make f continuous also from the convex side of M (this would imply that f is actually holomorphic). A counterexample was given in [IM].

3) The result of Lemma 6.6 from [P] was later reproved in [C] in a slightly more general case of M' of the form

$$M' = \{z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n'} : \sum_{j=1}^{n'} |z_j|^{2m_j} < 1\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where each m_j is a positive integer. But let us remark that mapping $\Phi : (z_1, ..., z_{n'}) \to (z_1^{m_1}, ..., z_{n'}^{m_{n'}})$ sends this M' to $\mathbb{S}^{2n'-1}$ and Φ is proper. Therefore the result of [C] follows from that of [P].

1.3. Case of meromorphic correspondences. Now suppose that in the conditions of Theorem 1 our f is a d-valued meromorphic correspondence with values in a complex manifold U'. When saying that f on M takes its values in $K \subset U'$ we mean that for every $m \in M$ all values of f at m are contained in K. By saying that f is continuous on $\overline{U^+}$ we mean that the restriction $f|_{\Gamma_f \cap \overline{U^+}} : \Gamma_f \cap \overline{U^+} \to \overline{U^+}$ is finite to one everywhere.

Now let us remark that in the conditions of Theorem 1 f can be a meromorphic correspondence and image manifold U' can be arbitrary.

Corollary 4. *Let M and K be as in Theorem 1, but K is a compact without germs of non-constant complex curves in a complex manifold U'. Let $f : (M, 0) \to U'$ be a germ of a meromorphic correspondence such that $f|_M[M] \subset K$. Then f is continuous on $\overline{U^+}$.*

The proof uses the same ingredients as that of Theorem 1 plus a simple observation that d-valued meromorphic correspondence from U to U' can be viewed as a meromorphic mapping from U to $\mathsf{Sym}^d(U')$, here $\mathsf{Sym}^d(U')$ is the d-th symmetric power of U', and that $\mathsf{Sym}^d(K)$ doesn't contain germs of non-constant complex curves.

Acknowledgements. I'm grateful to Rasul Shafikov and Alexander Sukhov for the stimulating discussions on the subject of this paper.

2. Intersections of analytic disks with a real analytic s.p.c. hypersurface

2.1. Generalities. Let $(M, 0)$ be a germ of a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^2$ defined in some sufficiently small neighborhood U of the origin as the zero set of a real analytic function $M := \{z \in U : Q(z, \bar{z}) = 0\}$, $Q(0) = 0$ and $\mathsf{grad}_0 Q \neq 0$. In an appropriate coordinates $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1, z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ the defining function of M can be written as

$$Q(z, \bar{z}) = y_2 - |z_1|^2 - r(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x_2). \tag{2.1}$$

This rep represents our hypersurface M in the form

$$M = \{(z_1, z_2) : y_2 = |z_1|^2 + r(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x_2)\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where the rest r can be supposed to be putt in the Chern-Moser normal form *i.e.,* has the form

$$r(z_1, \bar{z}_1, x_2) = \sum_{k,l \geqslant 2} r_{k\bar{l}}(x_2) z_1^k \bar{z}_1^l. \tag{2.3}$$

Here $r_{kl}(x_2)$ are real analytic functions on x_2 with $r_{kl} = \bar{r}_{lk}$, see [CM]. In fact $r_{k\bar{l}}$ in the Chern-Moser normal form satisfy some additional properties, but we shall not need them. Set $U^{\pm} := \{z \in U : Q(z, \bar{z}) \gtrless 0\}$ and call $U^{\pm}$ the concave/convex side of M.

Meromorphic mapping f from a complex manifold U with values in a complex manifold (or, complex space) U' is given by an irreducible and locally irreducible analytic subset $\Gamma_f \subset U \times U'$ (the graph of f) such that the restriction $\mathsf{pr}_1|_{\Gamma_f} : \Gamma_f \to U$ of the natural projection $\mathsf{pr}_1 : U \times U' \to U$ is generically one to one. Generically here means outside of a proper analytic set I_f, which is called the indeterminacy set of f. From the condition of the irreducibility of Γ_f and Remmert proper image theorem it follows that $\mathsf{codim}\, I_f \geqslant 2$, in the case of $n = 2$ this means a discrete set of points. When $U' = \mathbb{P}^N$ then such f can be defined by an N-tuple of meromorphic functions $f_1, ..., f_N$ and I_f is the union of the indeterminacy sets of all f_k. Outside of divisors of poles of f_k we have a mapping to $\mathbb{C}^N$ viewed as the standard affine part of $\mathbb{P}^N$. With some abuse of notations we shall often write $f : U \to \mathbb{C}^N$ instead of $f : U \to \mathbb{P}^N$.

Our standing assumption about the meromorphic map $f : U \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is that $I_f \subset \{0\}$, *i.e.,* either $I_f = \{0\}$ or is empty, and that

$$f|_M[M] \subset K, \tag{2.4}$$

for some compact K in $\mathbb{C}^N$. This will be written as $f : (U, M) \to (\mathbb{C}^N, K)$. Let us make precise that $f|_M[M]$ is defined as

$$f|_M[M] := \overline{f(M \setminus \{0\})}. \tag{2.5}$$

2.2. Families of analytic disks. For the purpose of this paper we shall need a precise information about such intersections of certain holomorphic 1-parameter families of analytic disks $\{C_\lambda\}$, all passing through the origin with our hypersurface M. Here λ is a complex parameter varying in a neighborhood of some $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. First we shall describe what families $\{C_\lambda\}$ will occur below.

Let $\pi : \hat{U} \to U$ be a tree of blowing's-up over $0 \in U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. By saying this we mean that π is a composition of a finite number of σ-processes, *i.e.,* $\pi = \pi_N \circ ... \circ \pi_1$. In more details denote by E_0 the line $\{z_2 = 0\}$ in the initial neighborhood of zero $U^{(0)} := U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with "natural coordinates" $z_1^{(0)} = z_1, z_2^{(0)} = z_2$. In the natural affine charts $(U_1^{(1)}, z_1^{(1)}, z_2^{(1)})$ and $(U_2^{(1)}, z_1^{(1)}, z_2^{(1)})$ on the first blow-up $U^{(1)}$ the blow-down map $\pi_1 : U^{(1)} \to U_0$ writes as

$$\begin{cases} z_1^{(0)} = z_1^{(1)} \\ z_2^{(0)} = z_1^{(1)} z_2^{(1)} \end{cases} \quad \text{in} \quad U_1^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} z_1^{(0)} = z_1^{(1)} z_2^{(1)} \\ z_2^{(0)} = z_2^{(1)} \end{cases} \quad \text{in} \quad U_2^{(1)}. \tag{2.6}$$

We denote coordinates both in $U_1^{(1)}$ and $U_2^{(1)}$ with the same letters and call them the "natural coordinates" in $U^{(1)}$, this will not lead us to a confusion. The exceptional curve E_1 is given by $\{z_1^{(1)} = 0\}$ in $U_1^{(1)}$ and by $\{z_2^{(1)} = 0\}$ in $U_2^{(1)}$. After that one blows some point on E_1 and so on. Each time in the similar way one obtains "natural coordinates" $z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}$ on affine charts $U_1^{(k)}$ and $U_2^{(k)}$ of $U^{(k)}$. The exceptional curve E_k writes in these coordinates as either $\{z_1^{(k)} = 0\}$ or, $\{z_2^{(k)} = 0\}$. We shall not distinguish between E_k and its strict transforms under the further σ-processes, *i.e.,* $\pi_{k+1}^* E_k$ will be denoted still as E_k and so on.

For a fixed k between 1 and N consider the following families:

$$\Delta_\lambda = \{z_2^{(k)} = \lambda, |z_1^{(k)}| < 1\}_{|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon} \text{ if the equation of } E_k \text{ is } \{z_1^{(k)} = 0\}, \tag{2.7}$$

or

$$\Delta_\lambda = \{z_1^{(k)} = \lambda, |z_2^{(k)}| < 1\}_{|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon} \text{ if the equation of } E_k \text{ is } \{z_2^{(k)} = 0\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Here $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are chosen arbitrarily. In other words these are the families of disks which intersect E_k orthogonally in "natural coordinates" on $U^{(k)}$. The holomorphic 1-parameter families we shall be interested in are the push-downs of Δ_λ-s under the blow-down map $\pi_1 \circ ... \circ \pi_k : U^{(k)} \to U^{(0)}$. And they will be denoted actually as C_λ, *i.e.,* $C_\lambda := \pi_1 \circ ... \circ \pi_k(\Delta_\lambda)$. The number $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$ will be clear from the context.

Families $\{C_\lambda\}$ are quite special. Their equations are polynomial in z_1, z_2 and λ, this can be easily proved by the induction on the number N of σ-processes in π. In our applications we shall see below that without loss of generality we can assume that the center λ_0 can be taken generic (ex. avoiding points of intersection of e_j with E_k) and $\varepsilon > 0$ as small as we wish. Generically here means outside of a finite set. Therefore we can assume that

- C_λ do not degenerate to a point for any λ ;
- the tangent cone to any of C_λ at zero doesn't contain either the line $\{z_1 = 0\}$ or, the line $\{z_2 = 0\}$.

In what follows we suppose that the line $\{z_1 = 0\}$ is not in the tangent cone of C_λ at zero, the case with $\{z_2 = 0\}$ can be treated analogously. Therefore there exists a bidisk $\Delta^2(\delta) = \Delta(\delta_1) \times \Delta(\delta_2)$ independent of λ such that $C_\lambda \cap \Delta^2(\delta)$ has as its defining function the Weierstrass polynomial

$$W_\lambda(z) = z_2^d + a_\lambda^1(z_1) z_2^{d-1} + ... + a_\lambda^d(z_1) \quad \text{for some} \quad d \geqslant 1, \tag{2.9}$$

where coefficients $a_\lambda^j(z_1)$ are holomorphic (in fact polynomial) both in z_1 and in λ, and $a_\lambda^j(0) \equiv 0$. Moreover the degree d is independent of λ. Since C_λ are obviously irreducible at the origin the polynomials W_λ should be irreducible too. By $D_\lambda(z_1)$ we denote the discriminant of W_λ. $D_\lambda(z_1)$ is holomorphic in both variables and $D_\lambda(0) \equiv 0$ if $d > 1$ because in this case $W_\lambda(0, z_2) = z_2^d$ has zero as a root of higher order. Write

$$D_\lambda(z_1) = b_k(\lambda) z_1^k + b_{k+1}(\lambda) z_1^{k+1} + ..., \tag{2.10}$$

where $k \geqslant 1$ and $b_k(\lambda) \not\equiv 0$. Perturbing λ_0, *i.e.,* taking it generically, and taking ε smaller we can assume that the discriminants $D_\lambda(z_1)$ of the equations $\{W_\lambda = 0\}$ of C_λ do not vanish for $z_1 \in \Delta(\delta_1) \setminus 0$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$ independent of λ. Therefore in the bidisk $\Delta^2(\delta)$ our curves C_λ are given by the equations

$$C_\lambda = \{z_2 = h_\lambda(z_1^{\frac{1}{d}})\}, h_\lambda(0) = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

see [F]. Dependence of h_λ on λ stays to be holomorphic. If $d = 1$ one readily gets the same form (2.11) for C_λ.

2.3. Intersection with families of analytic disks. Let R be the intersection of a non-constant analytic disk $C = \varphi(\Delta) \ni 0$ with the strictly pseudoconvex real analytic hypersurface $0 \in M \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ as above, *i.e.,* $R = \varphi^{-1}(C \cap M)$. Then R is a one dimensional real analytic set, and it has a non-empty (one dimensional) interior provided $C \cap U^+ \neq \varnothing$. More precisely $R = S \cup \Gamma$, where S is a discrete in Δ set of points $\{s_k\}$ and Γ is a locally finite union of smooth arcs $\{\gamma_l\}$ with ends on $\{s_k\}$.

Now consider a holomorphic 1-parameter family $\{\varphi_\lambda : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon\}$ of analytic disks $\varphi_\lambda : (\Delta, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that $C_\lambda = \varphi_\lambda(\Delta) \cap \Delta^2(\delta) = \{(z_1, h_\lambda(z_1^{\frac{1}{d}}) : z_1 \in \Delta_{\delta_1}\}$ are as above with R_λ, Γ_λ and S_λ having an obvious meaning in the parameter case.

Lemma 2.1. *For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough the following holds:*

i) either for all $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon$ the 1-dimensional part Γ_λ of $R_\lambda := \varphi_\lambda^{-1}(M \cap C_\lambda)$ contains a component γ_λ with an end point at zero;

ii) or, $R_\lambda = \{0\}$ for all λ and then $C_\lambda \setminus 0 \subset U^-$;

iii) or, there exist sequences $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$ and $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ such that (i) holds for all $|\lambda - \lambda_n| < \varepsilon_n$ and for all n.

Proof. Equations of analytic disks C_λ and hypersurface M can be written as

a) $C_\lambda = \{z_2 = h_\lambda(z_1^{\frac{1}{d}})\}$, $h_\lambda(0) = 0$ as in (2.11).

b) $M = \{y_2 = |z_1|^2 + \sum_{k,l \geqslant 2} a_{k\bar{l}}(x_2) z_1^k \bar{z}_1^l\}$ as in (2.2).

Making the substitution

$$\begin{cases} z_1 \to z_1^d \\ z_2 \to z_2 \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

we rewrite (a) and (b) in the form

$$\begin{cases} C_\lambda = \{z_2 = z_1^q h_\lambda(z_1)\} \text{ with some } q \geqslant 1, \\ M = \{y_2 = |z_1|^{2d} + \sum_{k,l \geqslant 2} a_{k\bar{l}}(x_2) z_1^{dk} \bar{z}_1^{dl}\}, \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

with some (other) holomorphic h_λ such that $h_\lambda(0) \not\equiv 0$ as a function of λ.

Case 1. $q > 2d$. In that case we obviously and directly from (2.13) get that $C_\lambda \cap \bar{U}^+ = \{0\}$ for all λ, *i.e.,* we get the option (*ii*) of the lemma.

Case 2. $h_{\lambda_0}(0) \neq 0$. Taking $\varepsilon > 0$ smaller, if necessary, we can suppose that $h_\lambda(0) \neq 0$ for all $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon$. Write $h_\lambda(0) = a(\lambda) e^{i\theta(\lambda)}$. Using polar coordinates $z_1 = r_1 e^{i\varphi_1}$ and $z_2 = r_2 e^{i\varphi_2}$ we get that on $C_\lambda \cap M$ one has

$$\begin{cases} r_2 \sin \varphi_2 = r_1^{2d} + O(r_1^{4d}), \\ r_2 \sin \varphi_2 = a(\lambda) r_1^q \sin(q\varphi_1 + \theta(\lambda)) + O(r_1^{q+1}), \\ r_2 \cos \varphi_2 = a(\lambda) r_1^q \cos(q\varphi_1 + \theta(\lambda)) + O(r_1^{q+1}). \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Now we have the following two subcases.

Subcase 2a. $q < 2d$. From (2.14) we get that

$$r_1^{2d} + O(r_1^{4d}) = a(\lambda) r_1^q \sin(q\varphi_1 + \theta(\lambda)) + O(r_1^{q+1}),$$

which implies

$$a(\lambda) \sin(q\varphi_1 + \theta(\lambda)) = r_1^{2d-q} + O(r_1^{4d-q}).$$

The last equation has $2q$ curves of solutions as r_1 tends to zero

$$\varphi_1 = -\frac{1}{q}\theta(\lambda) + \frac{1}{q} \arcsin\left(\frac{1}{a(\lambda)} O(r_1^{2d-q})\right) + \frac{2\pi k}{q}, \quad -q \leqslant k \leqslant q-1,$$

and all these curves end at the origin.

After we had determined φ_1 as a function of r_1 from equations one and two of (2.14) we can easily find $z_2 = r_2(\cos\varphi_2 + i \sin\varphi_2)$ as a function of r_1 from the equations one and three of (2.14). Since $q \geqslant 1$ we shall have that $z_2(r_1) \to 0$ as $r_1 \to 0$. I.e., the option (*i*) of our lemma realizes.

Subcase 2b. $q = 2d$. Again, from (2.14) we get that

$$r_1^{2d} + O(r_1^{4d}) = a(\lambda) r_1^{2d} \sin(q\varphi_1 + \theta(\lambda)) + O(r_1^{2d+1}),$$

which implies

$$a(\lambda)\sin(q\varphi_1 + \theta(\lambda)) = 1 + O(r_1). \tag{2.15}$$

If $a(\lambda_0) < 1$ then equation (2.15) has no solutions for small r_1 and we fall to the option (*ii*) of our lemma. If $a(\lambda_0) > 1$ then we are again in (*i*) with the curves of solutions

$$\varphi_1 = -\frac{1}{q}\theta(\lambda) + \frac{1}{q}\arcsin\left(\frac{1}{a(\lambda)}(1 + O(r_1))\right) + \frac{2\pi k}{q}, \quad -q \leqslant k \leqslant q-1.$$

Finally, if $a = 1$ then by open mapping theorem one finds λ arbitrarily close to λ_0 such that $a(\lambda) < 1$ and the one gets the option (*iii*) of our lemma.

Case 3. If $h_{\lambda_0}(0) = 0$ we can take λ_n arbitrarily close to λ_0 such that $h_{\lambda_n}(0) \neq 0$ but $a(\lambda_n) := |h(\lambda_n)|$ small. We fall into the assumptions of Case 2 with an additional condition that $a(\lambda) < 1$. This gives us the option (*iii*) of our lemma.

□

3. Strict transform of real analytic hypersurfaces under a modification

3.1. Strict transform. Let $M \ni 0$ be a real analytic strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface near the origin in $\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $\pi : \hat{U} \to U$ be a tree of blowing's-up over the origin, U stands here for some neighborhood of zero in $\mathbb{C}^2$. The exceptional divisor of π is denoted as E. Denote by

$$\pi^* M := \overline{\pi^{-1}(M \setminus 0)} \tag{3.1}$$

the proper preimage (or, strict transform) of M under π. Set $M_0^* := \pi^* M \cap E$. Note that M_0^* is connected. This follows from the fact that this set is the intersection of connected sets

$$M_0^* = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \overline{\pi^{-1}((B_\varepsilon^4 \setminus 0) \cap M)},$$

here B_ε^4 stands for the ball of radius ε centered at the origin of $\mathbb{C}^2$. We are going to prove that M_0^* is a massive set in the topology of E.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\hat{p} \in M_0^*$ be a point on the proper transform of M over the origin which is not a singular point of E and let E_k be an irreducible component of E containing $\hat{p}$. Then $\hat{p}$ is an accumulation point of $int(M_0^* \cap E_k)$. In particular $M_0^* \cap E_k$ has the non-empty interior in the topology of E_k for every E_k such that $M_0^* \cap E_k \not\subset \mathsf{Sing}\, E$.*

Proof. Let $\hat{p} \in M_0^* \cap E_k$ be as in this lemma. Since $\pi^* M$ is a continuum and is the closure of $\pi^{-1}(M \setminus 0)$ we can find a sequence $\hat{p}_n \to \hat{p}$ such that $p_n := \pi(\hat{p}_n) \in U^+$ and then necessarily $p_n \to 0$. Moreover, since U^+ is open we can choose these $\hat{p}_n$ generically. For any fixed $n >> 1$ find natural coordinates $z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}$ in an affine neighborhood of $\hat{p}_n$ and set $\lambda_0 := z_1^{(k)}(\hat{p}_n)$ or, $\lambda_0 := z_2^{(k)}(\hat{p}_n)$ depending on what is the equation of E_k in these coordinates, see (2.7) and (2.8). Let $\{\Delta_\lambda\}_{|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon}$ and $\{C_\lambda\}_{|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon}$ be the holomorphic 1-parameter families constructed as there. By the genericity of the choice of $\hat{p}_n$ these families can be chosen generically as well. Since these disks cut U^+ the case (*ii*) of Lemma 2.1 doesn't occur and we conclude that either λ_0 is an interior point of M_0^* or it can be approximated by interior points. This gives in its turn the approximation of $\hat{p}$ by the interior points of M_0^*.

Indeed, suppose we are under the case *(i)* of that lemma. Then C_λ intersects M by an 1-dimensional real analytic set which accumulates to zero. Let γ_λ be some 1-dimensional local component of this set which accumulates to 0. Its strict transform $\hat{\gamma}_\lambda := \overline{\pi^{-1}(\gamma_\lambda \setminus 0)}$ accumulates $\lambda \in E_k$ (*i.e.,* λ is viewed as the point of intersection of Δ_λ with E_k. Therefore λ belongs to $M_0^* \cap E_k$ for all λ close to λ_0. The case *(iii)* is clear.

□

Remark 3.1. Observe that $E_k \cap \mathsf{Sing}\, E$ consists from at most two pints. From connectivity of $M_0^* \cap E_k$ it follows that if $M_0^* \cap E_k$ is non-empty and is contained in $\mathsf{Sing}\, E$ then $M_0^* \cap E_k = \{\text{point}\}$.

3.2. Strict transform to the first two blowing's-up: example. It is not necessary for the proofs of this paper but is very instructive to compute the strict transform of a real analytic hypersurface onto first few blowing's-up.

1) Write the equation (2.2) in the form

$$M = \{\mathsf{Im}\, z_2 = |z_1|^2 + r(z, \bar{z})\}, \tag{3.2}$$

If we denote by M_1 the proper transform of M under π_1 and use notations of subsection 2.2 we see that $M_1 \setminus E_1$ has equations

$$M_1 \setminus E_1 = \{\mathsf{Im}\,(z_1^{(1)} z_2^{(1)}) = |z_1^{(1)}|^2 + r_1^{(1)}(z^{(1)}, \bar{z}^{(1)})\} \quad \text{in} \quad U_1^{(1)}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $r_1^{(1)}(z^{(1)}, \bar{z}^{(1)}) = r(z_1^{(1)}, z_1^{(1)} z_2^{(1)}, \bar{z}_1^{(1)}, \bar{z}_1^{(1)} \bar{z}_2^{(1)})$, and

$$M_1 \setminus E_1 = \left\{\mathsf{Im}\, z_2^{(1)} = |z_1^{(1)}|^2 |z_2^{(1)}|^2 + r_2^{(1)}(z^{(1)}, \bar{z}^{(1)})\right\} \quad \text{in} \quad U_2^{(1)}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $r_2^{(1)}(z^{(1)}, \bar{z}^{(1)}) = r(z_1^{(1)} z_2^{(1)}, z_2^{(1)}, \bar{z}_1^{(1)} \bar{z}_2^{(1)}, \bar{z}_2^{(1)})$. We see that the rests $r_1^{(1)}$ and $r_2^{(1)}$ have order of vanishing at zero not less than the original r.

The closure of $M_1 \setminus E_1$ in $U_2^{(1)}$, that is actually $M_1 \cap U_2^{(1)}$, is a smooth hypersurface (one easily checks that the gradient never vanishes) with the same equation as (3.4) and $M_1 \cap U_2^{(1)} \supset (E_1 \cap U_2^{(1)})$. Now remark that $M_1 \setminus E_1$ in $U_1^{(1)} \setminus E_1$ is defined by

$$M_1 \setminus E_1 = \left\{\mathsf{Im}\left(\frac{z_2^{(1)}}{\bar{z}_1^{(1)}}\right) = 1 + \frac{r_1^{(1)}(z^{(1)}, \bar{z}^{(1)})}{z_1^{(1)} \bar{z}_1^{(1)}}\right\}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\frac{r_1^{(1)}(z^{(1)}, \bar{z}^{(1)})}{z_1^{(1)} \bar{z}_1^{(1)}} = O(z^{(1)})$.

We see that $M_1 \cap U_1^{(1)}$ is a real cone with vertex at the origin. On the diagram of moduli $(r_1, r_2) = (|z_1^{(1)}|, |z_2^{(1)}|)$ it is tangent to the cone $r_2 \geqslant r_1$, see Fig. 1 (a). At this stage the proper transform M_1 of M contains the entire exceptional curve E_1.

2) Now let us blow-up the point $0_1^{(1)}$, by which we denote the origin in $U_1^{(1)}$. In what follows coordinates $(z_1^{(1)}, z_2^{(1)})$ will be redefined simply as (z_1, z_2) in order to simplify the notations, the same for $z^{(2)}$ below. We get the following equations in charts $U_1^{(2)}$ and $U_2^{(2)}$:

$$\mathsf{Im}\,(z_1^2 z_2) = |z_1|^2 + r_1(z, \bar{z}) \quad \text{in} \quad U_1^{(2)}, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\mathsf{Im}\,(z_1 z_2^2) = |z_1|^2 |z_2|^2 + r_2(z, \bar{z}) \quad \text{in } U_2^{(2)}, \tag{3.7}$$

with an appropriate r_1 and r_2. If we denote by M_2 the proper transform of M_1 under $\pi_2 : U^{(2)} \to U^{(1)}$ and by E_2 the corresponding exceptional curve then for $M_2 \setminus E_2$ in $U_1^{(2)} \setminus E_2$ and for $M_2 \setminus E_2$ in $U_2^{(2)} \setminus E_2$ we get correspondingly the equations

$$\mathsf{Im}\left(\frac{z_1}{\bar z_1} z_2\right) = 1 + O(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{Im}\left(\frac{z_2}{\bar z_2} \cdot \frac{1}{\bar z_1}\right) = 1 + O(z). \tag{3.8}$$

Now we see that M_2 intersects the second exceptional curve E_2 by the closed disk D, which in coordinates of $U_1^{(2)}$, corr. of $U_2^{(2)}$, is given as

$$D = \{z_1 = 0, |z_2| \geqslant 1\} \quad \text{corr. as} \quad D = \{z_2 = 0, |z_1| \leqslant 1\}, \tag{3.9}$$

see Fig. 1 b).



FIGURE 1. The first proper transform M_1 of M, see picture (a), contains the first exceptional curve E_1 entirely. The second M_2, see picture (b), contains E_1 and only a part of E_2.

4. Continuity of the mapping

4.1. The limit set of f from the concave side of M. Denote by

$$\lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in U^+}} f(z) \quad \text{correspondingly} \quad \lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in M\setminus 0}} f(z), \tag{4.1}$$

the sets of cluster points of all sequences $f(z_n)$ when $z_n \in U^+$, $z_n \to 0$ (corr. when $z_n \in M \setminus 0$, $z_n \to 0$).

Lemma 4.1. *Let a meromorphic mapping f satisfies (2.4), where M is as above and $M' \Subset \mathbb{C}^N$ is an arbitrary compact. Then*

$$\lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in U^+}} f(z) = \lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in M\setminus 0}} f(z). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Let $\pi : \hat U \to U$ be a resolution of indeterminacies of f, *i.e.,* a tree of blowing's-up over the origin, such that the pull-back $\hat f := f \circ \pi$ of f to $\hat U$ is holomorphic. Furthermore, let $z_n \in U^+$ be a sequence of points such that $z_n \to 0$ and $f(z_n) \to w_\infty$. The latter is

some point of M'. Denote by $\hat{z}_n \in \hat{U}^+ := \pi^{-1}(U^+)$ the preimages of z_n under π. Taking a subsequence we can suppose that $\hat{z}_n$ converge to some $\hat{z}_\infty \in E := \pi^{-1}(0)$, the latter is the exceptional divisor of the modification π. We have that $\hat{f}(\hat{z}_n) \to w_\infty = \hat{f}(\hat{z}_\infty)$. Take the family $\{\Delta_\lambda\}_{|\lambda-\lambda_0|<\varepsilon}$ of disks as in (2.7) and (2.8) in such a way that $\Delta_{\lambda_0} \cap E = \hat{z}_\infty$.

Before applying Lemma 2.1 to the corresponding family C_λ we perturb λ_0 and take $\varepsilon > 0$ in order to fulfill the usual assumptions we impose on our family. Remark also that we can find λ-s arbitrarily close to λ_0 in such a way that in addition $C_\lambda \setminus 0$ cannot be contained in U^-. For example take λ such that Δ_λ contains some $\hat{z}_n$, this means that $C_\lambda \ni z_n \in U^+$ (or, any λ close to this). Therefore the case *(ii)* of Lemma 2.1 will not occur. Remark that we perturbed also ours $\hat{z}_\infty$ and w_∞.

Case 1. If we are in the case *(i)* of Lemma 2.1 then

$$\lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in C_{\lambda_0}}} f(z) = \lim_{\substack{\hat{z}\to\hat{z}_\infty\\ \hat{z}\in\Delta_{\lambda_0}}} \hat{f}(z) = w_\infty. \tag{4.3}$$

But $C_{\lambda_0} \cap M$ contains a real analytic curve γ accumulating to zero in this case. The limit in (4.3) is the same as the limit along this γ which is a subset of M. This proves the inclusion

$$\lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in U^+}} f(z) \subset \lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in M\setminus 0}} f(z) \tag{4.4}$$

in this case.

Case 2. Suppose we are in the case *(iii)* of Lemma 2.1. Let $\lambda_n \to \lambda_0$ be as there and let $\hat{z}'_n$ be the point of intersection of Δ_{λ_n} with E. Remark that $\hat{z}'_n \to \hat{z}_\infty$. Let γ_n be a component of $C_{\lambda_n} \cap M$ accumulating to zero. Then

$$\hat{f}(\hat{z}'_n) = \lim_{\substack{\hat{z}\to\hat{z}'_n\\ \hat{z}\in\Delta_{\lambda_n}}} \hat{f}(\hat{z}) = \lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in C_{\lambda_n}}} f(z) = \lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in\gamma_n}} f(z) \subset \lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in M}} f(z). \tag{4.5}$$

By holomorphicity of $\hat{f}$ we have that $\hat{f}(\hat{z}'_n) \to \hat{f}(\hat{z}_\infty) = w_\infty$. I.e., the inclusion (4.4) is proved also in this case.

In fact up to now we proved that for some $\hat{z}''_n \in E, \hat{z}''_n \to \hat{z}_\infty$ we have that $\hat{f}(\hat{z}''_n) \in f|_M[M]$. But the considerations applied in the Case 2 show that this implies that $\hat{f}(\hat{z}_\infty) \in f|_M[M]$ as well. I.e., the inclusion (4.4) is proved.

The inverse inclusion is obvious. For if

$$w_\infty = \lim_{\substack{z_n\to 0\\ z_n\in M\setminus 0}} f(z_n),$$

then we find $\tilde{z}_n \in U^+$ sufficiently close to z_n and the $\lim f(\tilde{z}_n)$ will be the same.

□

Remark 4.1. The set in the right hand side of (4.2) we shall denote as $f|_M[0]$. Observe the obvious inclusion

$$\lim_{\substack{z\to 0\\ z\in M\setminus 0}} f(z) = f|_M[0] \subset f|_M[M]. \tag{4.6}$$

4.2. Continuity of the mapping from the concave side of M. Now we can proof the announced continuity of f from the side U^+ of M.

Lemma 4.2. *In the conditions of Lemma 4.1 suppose, in addition, that the compact M' doesn't contain any germ of a complex curve. Then the restriction $f|_{\bar{U}^+\setminus 0}$ is continuous up to M.*

Proof. We need to prove the continuity at zero only. Moreover, due to Lemma 4.1 the only thing to prove is that

$$f|_M[0] = \{point\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $\pi : \hat{U} \to U$ be, as above, the resolution of indeterminacies of f and E its exceptional divisor. It is clear that

$$f|_M[0] = \hat{f}\,(M_0^*)\,. \tag{4.8}$$

By $E_{\hat{f}}^{\mathsf{const}}$ denote the union of components of E on which the lift $\hat{f}$ is constant. Therefore if $M_0^* \subset E_{\hat{f}}^{\mathsf{const}}$ then the lemma is proved. Suppose that this is not the case. This means that there exists an irreducible component E_k of E such that $M_0^* \cap E_k \neq \varnothing$ and $\hat{f}|_{E_k} \not\equiv \mathsf{const}$. If $M_0^* \cap E_k \subset \mathsf{Sing}\, E$, *i.e.,* is just one point $\hat{p}$, see Remark 3.1, then there should be another component E_l of E intersecting E_k at this point. $\hat{p}$ cannot be an isolated point of E_l too and we can find a point $\hat{q} \in M_0^*$ close to $\hat{p}$ which is not singular for E and then Lemma 2.1 applies to $\hat{q}$ on the place of $\hat{p}$.

According to this lemma there exists $\hat{q} \in E_0$ close to $\hat{p}$ and a neighborhood $\hat{V}$ of $\hat{q}$ on E_k (or, on E_l) such that $\hat{V} \subset M_0^*$. But this means that $\hat{f}|_{E_k}(\hat{V}) \subset M'$ (or, $\hat{f}|_{E_l}(\hat{V}) \subset M'$). Since M' doesn't contain germs of complex curves this means that $\hat{f}$ is constant on E_k (or, on E_l). In the former case this is a contradiction. In the latter $E_k \cap M_0^* = \{\hat{p}\} \in E_f^{\mathsf{const}}$. Therefore $M_0^* \cap E_k \subset E_{\hat{f}}^{\mathsf{const}}$ for every component E_k of E which intersects M_0^* and the lemma is proved.

□

Remark 4.2. If no further assumption are imposed on the image set K mapping f (being continuous from the concave side U^+ of M) can be still *meromorphic* in general, *i.e.,* it can happen that 0 is really an indeterminacy point of f. Such an example was constructed in [IM]. Therefore in what follows we shall restrict ourselves to the case when K is a compact locally spherical hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^N$.

5. Applications

5.1. Proof of corollaries. Let a germ $f : (M, x) \to (M', x')$ of a holomorphic mapping from a real analytic strongly pseudoconvex hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ to a compact locally spherical $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n'}$ be given. By the result of [SV] f meromorphically extends along any given CR-path $\gamma \subset M$ starting at x. All we need to prove is that this extension is holomorphic. Denote by $0 \in M$ the point in which we shall prove the holomorphicity of f.

Take a vector $\mathrm{v} \in T_0^c M$ such that $L_M(0)[\mathrm{v}] \neq 0$. Taking such v generically we can preserve this condition and, additionally, taking a transverse to M vector n we can achieve that $L \cap I_f$ is discrete for a subspace L of $\mathbb{C}^n$ spanned by v and n. Then $L \cap I_f$ will be discrete as well. All we need is to prove our theorem for the restriction of f to this subspace. Indeed, after a coordinate change we can suppose that $L = \{z_3 = ... = z_n = 0\}$

and that f is meromorphic in the unit polydisk $U = \Delta^n$. Shrinking U if necessary and assuming that our theorem is proved when $n = 2$ we get that $f|_{L\cap U}$ is holomorphic and therefore the graph $\Gamma|_{f|_{L\cap U}}$ is Stein. Take a Stein neighborhood V of $\Gamma|_{f|_{L\cap U}}$ in $U \times \mathbb{P}^N$. Then for every $z'' = (0, 0, z_3, ..., z_n)$ close to zero we have that $\hat{f}(z) := (z, f(z))$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\partial\Delta^2 \times \{z''\}$ with values in V. The holomorphicity of f follows now from the Hartogs extension theorem for holomorphic functions. Therefore from now on we shall assume that $n = 2$, M strictly pseudoconvex at 0 and zero is the only eventual indeterminacy point of f.

Let M' be our compact locally spherical hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$. This means that for every point $x' \in M'$ there exists a neighborhood $U' \ni x'$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$ and a biholomorphism Φ of U' with values in $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$ such that $\Phi(U' \cap M') \subset \mathbb{S}^{2n'-1}$. In [BS] it was proved that the universal cover $\tilde{M}'$ of M' admits a biholomorphic *development* mapping $F : \tilde{M}' \to \mathbb{S}^{2n'-1}$. Moreover, construction in [BS] obviously gives a complex neighborhood $\tilde{V}' \supset \tilde{M}'$ such that the covering map $\pi : \tilde{M}' \to M'$ extends as a locally biholomorphic map to $\tilde{V}'$ and this f is an imbedding of $\tilde{V}'$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$.

Shrinking (U, M), if necessary, we can suppose that $f(\overline{U^+}) \subset U'$, where this time U' is a neighborhood of $0' = f(0) \in M'$ such that $\pi : \tilde{U}' \to U'$ is a biholomorphism. Here $\tilde{U}'$ is an appropriate neighborhood of some preimage $\tilde{0}'$ of $0'$ by π in $\tilde{V}'$. As a result the composition $H := F \circ \pi^{-1} \circ f : \overline{U^+} \to \mathbb{C}^{n'}$ is well defined and maps M to $\mathbb{S}^{2n'-1}$. Moreover, it extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of any point $x \in M \setminus \{0\}$. Applying the quoted theorem of Pinchuk we extend H holomorphically to a neighborhood of M, denote this neighborhood by U again. This gives us the desired holomorphicity of f. Corollary 2 is proved. Corollary 3 follows obviously.

5.2. Proof for meromorphic correspondences. Let U and U' be complex manifolds. Recall that a meromorphic correspondence $f : U \to U'$ is an irreducible and locally irreducible analytic subset $\Gamma_f \subset U \times U'$ such that the restriction $\mathsf{pr}_1|_{\Gamma_f} : \Gamma_f \to U$ of the natural projection $\mathsf{pr}_1 : U \times U' \to U$ onto Γ_f is proper and generically d to 1. Here $d \geqslant 1$ is called the order of f and Γ_f is its graph. Meromorphic correspondence of order $\mathsf{1}$ is just a meromorphic mapping. In general f is called a d-valued meromorphic correspondence. If $I_f = \varnothing$ the correspondence is called holomorphic.

Let us prove now Corollary 4. The symmetric power $\mathsf{Sym}^d(U')$ of U' of order d is a normal complex space and f naturally defines a meromorphic mapping $f^d : U \to \mathsf{Sym}^d(U')$. Condition that $f(m) \subset K$ for $m \in M \setminus \{0\}$ implies (is equivalent to) that $f^d(m) \in \mathsf{Sym}^d(K)$. Would $\mathsf{Sym}^d(K)$ contain a germ of a non-constant complex curve $\varphi^d(\lambda) = \mathsf{Sym}\,(\varphi_1(\lambda), ..., \varphi_d(\lambda))$ then K would contain all φ_k. At least one of them should be non-constant. Contradiction. Therefore $\mathsf{Sym}^d(K)$ doesn't contain such a germ. Now one can literally repeat the proof of Theorem 1 for the meromorphic mapping $f^d : U \to \mathsf{Sym}^d(U')$ to get the conclusion of Corollary 4.

References

[BS] Burns D., Shnider S.: *Spherical Hypersurfaces in Complex Manifolds.* Invent. math. **33**, 223-246 (1976).

[CM] Chern S., Moser J.: *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds.* Acta Math. **133**, 219-171 (1974).

[C] Chiappari S.: *Holomorphic Extension of Proper Meromorphic mappings.* Michigan Math. J. **38**, 167-174 (1991).

[F] Fischer G.: *Plane Algebraic Curves.* AMS, Student Math. Lib. **V. 16** (2001).

[GR] Gunning R., Rossi H.: *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables.* Prentice-Hall (1965).

[IM] Ivashkovich S., Meylan F.: *An Example Concerning Holomorphicity of Meromorphic Mappings Along Real Hypersurfaces.* Michigan Math. J. **64**, 487-491 (2015).

[Na] Narasimhan R.: *Introduction to the Theory of Analytic spaces.* Lecture Notes Math., **25**, Springer (1966).

[P] Pinchuk S.: *Analytic continuation of holomorphic mappings and the problem of holomorphic classification of multidimensional domains.* Doctor Nauk Thesis (Habilitation), Chelyabinsk (1979).

[SV] Shafikov R., Verma K.: *Extension of holomorphic maps between real hypersurfaces in different dimension.* Ann. Inst. Fourier **57**, 2063-2080 (2007).

Université de Lille-1, UFR de Mathématiques, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France
E-mail address: ivachkov@math.univ-lille1.fr

IAPMM Nat. Acad. Sci. Ukraine Lviv, Naukova 3b, 79601 Ukraine